



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

inal case for newly discovered evidence, chiefly impeaching, is properly denied.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see Cent. Dig. vol. 15, Criminal Law, §§ 2331, 2332.]

SCHWALM *v.* BEARDSLEY.

Jan. 17, 1907.

[56 S. E. 135.]

1. Deeds—Construction—Description of Property—Plats.—Where a deed described land as a lot laid off and designated on a certain plat of survey, the plat becomes as much a part of the deed as if it were copied into it.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see Cent. Dig. vol. 16, Deeds, §§ 323, 324.]

2. Boundaries—Description—Party Walls.—A deed describing land as extending to a party wall, where the grantor owned the land on both sides of the wall, conveys to the center of the wall, notwithstanding a discrepancy in the width of the lot resulting from this construction, since courses and distances yield to monuments called for in a deed.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see Cent. Dig. vol. 8, Boundaries, § 18.]

MORGAN *et al.* *v.* BOOKER.

Jan. 17, 1907.

[56 S. E. 137.]

1. Appeal—Review—Additional Proofs.—In a suit by the holder of a note to set aside an order of the court, and an entry by the clerk of court, in pursuance thereof, releasing a deed of trust securing the note, where the evidence is conflicting as to the transfer of the note to the complainant, and the credibility of witnesses is involved, and it is not clear that justice has been done, a decree in favor of complainant will be reversed, and an issue framed to be tried before a jury to ascertain whether the complainant was in good faith the owner and holder of the note.

2. Witnesses—Competency—Transactions with Decedents.—In an action by the holder of a note against the grantor in a deed of trust to set aside a release of the deed of trust, though the note had been transferred to the complainant by a person since deceased, complainant is a competent witness on the issue whether he was in good faith the owner and holder of the note for value, where the amount of the note had been paid after its alleged transfer to the original holder.